

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,817	09/08/2003	Matthew S. Osborn	14471.01	1131
25763 75	90 10/06/2006	EXAMINER		
	VHITNEY LLP AL PROPERTY DEPAR	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
SUITE 1500 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
MINNEAPOLIS	S, MN 55402-1498		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/657,817	OSBORN, MATTHEW S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,45-57 and 63</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,45-57 and 63</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4,8,19 and 28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>062804,111804,030905,052705</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/657,817

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Claims 4, 8, 19 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 4, "agent" should be singular. In claim 8, line 2, "a" should be changed to "the". In claim 19, "step of" should be added after "the" (line 1). In claim 28, line 1, "the" should be added after "from". Appropriate correction is required.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 8, 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis in claim 2 for "the hide pattern" (claims 7 and 8). Claim 20 is indefinite since it is not clear if the washing step occurs immediately after the providing step or sometime later. Claim 27 is indefinite in not reciting in the preamble on what the microbial levels are reduced, which can be corrected by adding "on an animal hide" after "levels" (line 1). Corrections are required without new matter.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/657,817

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1-5, 9, 11, 13-18 and 45-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowling et al (5,149,295, cols. 2 and 4-8) or Potter et al (6,129,623, cols. 4 and 6-9). Both patents disclose a process of reducing microbial levels on an animal carcass including stunning the animal; rinsing, washing, immersing and/or spraying the hide with several antimicrobial agents in an amount within applicant's claimed ranges, e.g. aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution, thioglycolic acid and calcium oxide; air drying the hide; exsanguinating the animal to cause death; and removing the hide. The antimicrobial agent is part of a composition which also includes sodium lauryl sulfate, sodium carbonate or bicarbonate and an oxidating agent. The antimicrobial solutions are treated and recycled for subsequent use. The removed hide is subsequently cured, salted and tanned during transport.
- 7. Claims 6-8, 10, 12, 19-32 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowling et al or Potter et al. The particular section of the hide to which the antimicrobial solutions are applied (claims 6-8) is not critical and in the absence of unexpected results is entitled to no patentable weight. Further treating the removed hides with antimicrobial (claims 10 and 27) would have been obvious if additional reduction of microbial levels thereon is deemed necessary. Finding the optimum spray or washing pressure (claims 12, 19, 20 and 63) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

Art Unit: 1761

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holzhauer et al and Koefod et al show treatment of animal hides with antimicrobial agents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

Page 4

9-29-06